

Defendant does not, however, articulate why her role as a police officer requires sealing facts regarding the timeline of her maternity leave or her difficulties in arranging child care. These facts are the primary bases for her motion to adjourn her trial, and as such they are highly relevant both to my decision on that issue and to the public's understanding of the motion. *See Bernstein v. Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP*, 814 F.3d 132, 139 (2d Cir. 2016). To the extent defendant invokes the law enforcement privilege to overcome the strong presumption of public access here, where neither an investigation nor a technique is at issue, she must show sealing is necessary "to protect . . . law enforcement personnel." *In re Dep't of Investigation of City of New York*, 856 F.2d 481, 484 (2d Cir. 1988). Defendant does not provide any reason why including these facts on the public docket would endanger her in any way, and I see none.

Accordingly, defendant is directed to electronically file an appropriately redacted motion to adjourn no later than September 18, 2020 at 5:00 P.M.

SO ORDERED.

_____/s/_____
Allyne R. Ross
United States District Judge

Dated: September 18, 2020
Brooklyn, New York